

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/580,491	05/30/2000	Kurt Hertogs	07691.0009	8312	
27777 75	12/23/2002				
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR.			EXAMINER		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZA		BORIN, MI	BORIN, MICHAEL L	
NEW BRUNSV	SWICK, NJ 08933-7003		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631	E	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/580,491

Michael Borin

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1631

Hertogs et al.



	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres			
	for Reply	-			
THE	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the date of the date of the date. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	e considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 4, 20	002	<u> </u>		
2a) 🗌		ction is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa				
	ition of Claims				
4) X	Claim(s) 7	is/are	pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		e withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 7		is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.		
_	Claims				
	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	ega) □ accepted or b)□ objecte	ed to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved	b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply				
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		~1		
a) □			e).		
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic) and/or 121,		
Attachme		F	, with the same of		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N	No(s)		
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (f	PTO-152)		
3) Info	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

The Examiner of record has changed. Please address all further correspondence

to Examiner M. Borin, AU 1631.

Upon re-consideration of the issues in the case, withdrawal of rejection under

35 U.S.C. 103(a), and a new grounds of rejections were deemed necessary.

Consequently, finality of the previous Office action is withdrawn. Applicants

arguments with respect to rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 103 have been considered

but are deemed moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. The following

rejections constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant

application.

Claim 7 is the only claim pending. Pursuant to election of species, the

mutations under consideration is at least one selected from 103S, 118I, and 88T,

selected for (a), (b), and © in claim 7, respectively. Inasmuch as the election of

species requirement requested election of a single mutation¹, and the previous

prosecution addressed, specifically, the mutation 88T, the said mutation is addressed

herein as the elected species. Other species will be addressed upon acknowledgment

¹Note that the claim is drawn to "at least one nucleic acid", i.e., to at least one mutant.

Art Unit: 1631

of allowability of the method as drawn to the elected species. Thus, the instant Office action address the claimed method to the extent it reads on the mutation 88T.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C.102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Condra et al.

The reference evaluates effectiveness of antiviral therapy of HIV patients with protease inhibitor Indinavir (IDV). To evaluate the effectiveness of therapy with IDV, blood of HIV infected patients was collected (same step as step I) of the instant claim), and nucleic acids encoding HIV protease are examined (i.e., as in step (ii)(c) of claim 7. In one patient, patient "O" at least one mutation, namely 88T (i.e., the elected species) correlates with reduced effectiveness of antiviral therapy (see table 1, patient "O") - the resistance to IDV increased to over 3000 nM (see table 1, column IDV CIC and p. 8270, right col., lines 9-10 from bottom). The thus identified at least one mutation correlates with reduced effectiveness of IDV (which reads on step (iii) of the instant claim.

Art Unit: 1631

It is the Examiners position that all the elements of Applicant's invention with respect to the method of claim 7 are instantly disclosed by the teaching of the reference cited above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claim, to the extent it is drawn to the elected species, mutation 88T, is drawn to method of evaluating antiviral therapy of an HIV-infected patient based on identification of mutation 88T in HIV protease.

The prior art teaches that a single residue mutation is not conclusively indicative of development of resistance to HIV therapy. Condra et al teach that the highly variable nature of the observed amino acid substitutions precludes the identification

Art Unit: 1631

of simple, invariant rules diagnostic for HIV resistance, no preferred order of appearances of any particular substitution is evident, and that the emergence of phenotypic resistance correlated with the appearance of substitutions at various numbers of amino acid residues among at least 11 sites in HIV protease (rather than just one site as instantly claimed). "No single pattern of amino acid substitution in viral protease was required for the development of resistance to Indinavir. Rather, phenotypic resistance resulted from the combined effects of multiple, highly variable combinations of amino acid variations". See p. 8271, last paragraph; p. 8275, first two paragraphs.

Specification mentions that "mutation at 88T confers resistance to nelfinavir" (p. 25, line 7). However, said disclosure is made while discussing information presented in Table 1. Close examination of said Table does not reveal any mention of 88T mutation. Further, there is no working examples demonstrating correlation of 88T mutation to increase or decrease in sensitivity to nelfinavir treatment. Furthermore, even if such information had been presented, there is no information on the correlation of 88T mutation to modulate sensitivity to treatment with any medication other than nelfinavir. In addition, there is no information on whether said mutation correlates to increase or decrease in the effectiveness of the PI therapy.

Art Unit: 1631

The second mention of 88T mutation is in Table 2b, which informs that this single mutation correlates to phenotypic PI resistance. There is no further information, however, as to resistance to what particular drug this mutation correlates, how this

mutation correlates to the resistance (increases or decreases the resistance).

Therefore, in view of the above, it is the Examiners position that with the insufficient guidance and working examples and in view of unpredictability and the state of art one skilled in the art could not make and/or use the invention with the claimed breadth without an undue amount of experimentation.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4506. Dr. Borin can normally be reached between the hours of 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. EST Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 308-4028. The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

December 19, 2002

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER